

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION**

EVELYN HART, Independent)	
Administrator of the Estate of)	
MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased,)	Case No. 2007 L 006654
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>JURY DEMAND</u>
)	
vs.)	
)	
ST. JAMES HOSPITAL & HEALTH)	
CENTERS, INC., EXCEL EMERGENCY)	
CARE, LLC, and JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AT LAW

COUNT I – WRONGFUL DEATH

NOW COMES Plaintiff, EVELYN HART, Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, by her attorneys, DAVID S. JASMER, LLC, and complaining of the Defendants, ST. JAMES HOSPITAL & HEALTH CENTERS, INC. (“ST. JAMES HOSPITAL”) and JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and each of them, states as follows:

1. On May 15, 2001, and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL owned, operated, managed, controlled, and maintained a hospital located at 1423 Chicago Road, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Illinois.

2. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, the Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, individually and by its agents, servants, and employees, was an Illinois corporation duly authorized and licensed to operate as a hospital and did operate as a hospital which offered and provided full-service medical care and treatment to the public, including emergency room facilities, surgical facilities, radiology facilities,

diagnostic, laboratory, and technical services, and employed various health care professionals.

3. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, the Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, individually and by its agents, servants, and employees, held itself out to the public, including the Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON, as a hospital which offered and provided full-service medical care and treatment to the public, including emergency room facilities and care, surgical facilities and care, radiology facilities and care, diagnostic, laboratory, and technical services, and further held out to the public, and, in particular, Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON, that it possessed the requisite skill, competence, know-how, facilities, personnel, staff, equipment and information properly to diagnose, care for, and treat patients, such as Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON, commensurate with commonly accepted medical and hospital care standards of the community.

4. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was a doctor of medicine duly licensed to practice medicine in the State of Illinois.

5. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was a doctor of medicine specializing in the practice of emergency medicine and held himself out to the public, including Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON, as such.

6. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was an agent, apparent agent, and/or ostensible agent of Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL.

7. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was an employee of Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL.

8. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was acting in the course and scope of his agency relationship with Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL.

9. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL.

10. On May 15, 2001 at approximately 2:34 p.m., Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was experiencing abdominal pain and was transported from his place of work by ambulance to the emergency room of Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL with abdominal pain.

11. On May 15, 2001 at approximately 2:46 p.m., Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON came under the medical care of Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. in the emergency room of Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL.

12. On May 15, 2001, at approximately 3:25 p.m. Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was examined in the emergency room of Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL by Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., at which time Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. undertook to diagnose and treat MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition.

13. On May 15, 2001, as part of his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. ordered that certain tests, films, and studies, including a metabolic profile, cardiac enzymes, pancreatic enzymes, a chest X-ray, and

an electrocardiogram, be performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON to assist in the diagnosis of MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition.

14. On May 15, 2001, said tests, films, and studies ordered by Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. were performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON.

15. On May 15, 2001, certain of the tests, films, and studies performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON revealed abnormal results.

16. Notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, MD. from his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. ignored, failed to give adequate consideration to, and/or failed to follow up on said data and information, including said abnormal results.

17. Notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, MD. from his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON to the hospital for further testing.

18. Had proper and adequate follow-up tests, films, and studies been performed, said tests, films, and/or studies would have revealed that MICHAEL HAMILTON was suffering from an aortic dissection, which posed an imminent threat to MICHAEL HAMILTON'S life requiring urgent and immediate treatment.

19. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. incorrectly ruled out or failed to consider altogether the possibility of a diagnosis of aortic dissection.

20. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to diagnose MICHAEL HAMILTON'S aortic dissection.

21. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON to a surgeon for immediate surgery on an emergency basis to correct his aortic dissection.

22. On May 15, 2001, Plaintiff's Decedent's medical condition required urgent, immediate medical attention, diagnosis, care, and treatment.

23. As a result of the inadequate medical care provided by Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition was allowed to deteriorate and worsen.

24. Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, failed to recognize that Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was experiencing a medical emergency and failed to take necessary and appropriate measures to safeguard the well-being of Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON.

25. On or about May 15, 2001, Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, through its agent and/or employee, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, failed properly to examine, diagnose, care for, and treat Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition and, as a result, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. discharged MICHAEL HAMILTON from ST. JAMES HOSPITAL at approximately 6:20 p.m. on May 15, 2001 with a diagnosis of "abdominal pain—resolved".

26. On and subsequent to May 15, 2001 and at all relevant times herein, Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, through its agent and/or employee, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, had a duty to possess and apply the knowledge and use the skill and care ordinarily used by a reasonably well-qualified doctor of medicine specializing in the practice of emergency medicine.

27. On and subsequent to May 15, 2001, notwithstanding their aforesaid duties, and in breach thereof, Defendant ST. JAMES HOSPITAL, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, and each of them, were guilty of one or more of the following careless and negligent acts or omissions, in that Defendants:

- (a) Failed to take a complete and accurate history and specifically failed to ask about the location of, severity of, quality or character of, or, associated symptoms accompanying MICHAEL HAMILTON's pain at the time of onset.
- (b) Failed to take a complete and accurate history and specifically failed to obtain as much information as possible from all available sources, including MICHAEL HAMILTON's co-workers/friends.
- (c) Failed to perform a complete and thorough physical examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON and specifically failed to perform a vascular exam and failed to take note of MICHAEL HAMILTON's signs/symptoms while in the ER including experiencing chest pain and vomiting blood.
- (d) Failed to conduct any repeat examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON before discharging him and, specifically, failing to palpate MICHAEL HAMILTON's abdomen, retake MICHAEL HAMILTON's vital signs, have MICHAEL HAMILTON rate his pain on a scale of 1 to 10 and make sure MICHAEL HAMILTON could tolerate liquids before discharging him.
- (e) Failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON for a full cardiac workup despite the fact that the EKG showed acute myocardial ischemia.

- (f) Failed to include aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with sudden, severe pain in his abdomen and a history of sudden severe pain in his chest, abdomen, and back.
- (g) Failed to order a CT scan or other diagnostic testing despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with sudden, severe pain in his abdomen and a history of sudden severe pain in his chest, abdomen, and back and/or despite the fact that Dr. Almeida should have included aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis.
- (h) Failed to include aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with a history of pain migrating from his chest to his abdomen to his back.
- (i) Failed to rule out at least three potentially fatal medical conditions as the cause of MICHAEL HAMILTON's symptoms despite having included said fatal medical conditions – namely, acute myocardial infarction, acute myocardial ischemia, and acute pancreatitis - in his differential diagnosis.
- (j) Ignored, failed to give adequate consideration to, and/or failed to follow up on the information and data available to Defendants from their examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies;
- (k) Failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON to the hospital for further tests, films, and studies notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendants from their examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies;
- (l) Failed to order a posterior-anterior (PA) chest X-ray and a full cardiac workup on MICHAEL HAMILTON, including serial cardiac enzymes, serial electrocardiograms, and a 2D echocardiogram, which would have revealed MICHAEL HAMILTON'S aortic dissection;
- (m) Failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON immediately to a cardiovascular surgeon for surgery on an emergency basis to repair and correct his aortic dissection;
- (n) Failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON immediately to a cardiologist or other specialist;
- (o) Discharged MICHAEL HAMILTON with a diagnosis of “abdominal pain—resolved” when the Defendants knew or reasonably should have

discovered that MICHAEL HAMILTON was suffering from an aortic dissection.

28. As a proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid careless and negligent acts or omissions by the Defendants, ST. JAMES HOSPITAL and JOSE ALMEIDA, and each of them, the Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition was allowed to deteriorate and he died on May 22, 2001.

29. Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON left surviving him as his next of kin his daughter, TATIYANA MASON, who has sustained substantial pecuniary loss, including the loss of money, goods, and services, as well as the loss of society, companionship, instruction, moral training, superintendence of education, love, and affection as the result of the death of her father, MICHAEL HAMILTON.

30. On May 15, 2002, EVELYN HART, the mother of Plaintiff's Decedent, was appointed Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Probate Division. (Exhibit 1).

31. This cause of action arises under the Illinois Wrongful Death Act, codified at 740 ILCS 180/1, et. seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, EVELYN HART, Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against the Defendants, ST. JAMES HOSPITAL & HEALTH CENTERS, INC., and JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and each of them, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff for the injuries and damages sustained, plus costs of this action.

COUNT II - WRONGFUL DEATH

NOW COMES Plaintiff, EVELYN HART, Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, by her attorneys, DAVID S. JASMER, LLC, and complaining of the Defendants, EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC and JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and each of them, states as follows:

1. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was a doctor of medicine duly licensed to practice medicine in the State of Illinois.

2. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was a doctor of medicine specializing in the practice of emergency medicine and held himself out to the public, including Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON, as such.

3. On May 15, 2001 and at all relevant times hereto, Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC was a corporation licensed to practice health care and, in particular, emergency medicine, in the State of Illinois.

4. On May 15, 2001 and at all relevant times hereto, Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC was a partnership licensed to practice health care and, in particular, emergency medicine, in the State of Illinois.

5. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was an agent of Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC.

6. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was an employee of Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC.

7. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was acting in the course and scope of his agency relationship with Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC.

8. On May 15, 2001 and at all times relevant hereto, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. was acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC.

9. On May 15, 2001 at approximately 2:34 p.m., Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was experiencing abdominal pain and was transported from his place of work by ambulance to the emergency room of St. James Hospital & Health Centers, Inc. ("St. James Hospital") with abdominal pain.

10. On May 15, 2001 at approximately 2:46 p.m., Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON came under the medical care of Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. in the emergency room of St. James Hospital.

11. On May 15, 2001, at approximately 3:25 p.m. Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was examined in the emergency room of St. James Hospital by Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., at which time Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. undertook to diagnose and treat MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition.

12. On May 15, 2001, as part of his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. ordered that certain tests, films, and studies, including a metabolic profile, cardiac enzymes, pancreatic enzymes, a chest X-ray, and an electrocardiogram, be performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON to assist in the diagnosis of MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition.

13. On May 15, 2001, said tests, films, and studies ordered by Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. were performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON.

14. On May 15, 2001, certain of the tests, films, and studies performed on MICHAEL HAMILTON revealed abnormal results.

15. Notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, MD. from his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. ignored, failed to give adequate consideration to, and/or failed to follow up on said data and information, including said abnormal results.

16. Notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, MD. from his examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON to the hospital for further testing.

17. Had proper and adequate follow-up tests, films, and studies been performed, said tests, films, and/or studies would have revealed that MICHAEL HAMILTON was suffering from an aortic dissection, which posed an imminent threat to MICHAEL HAMILTON'S life requiring urgent and immediate treatment.

18. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. incorrectly ruled out or failed to consider altogether the possibility of a diagnosis of aortic dissection.

19. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to diagnose MICHAEL HAMILTON'S aortic dissection

20. Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON to a surgeon for immediate surgery on an emergency basis to repair and correct his aortic dissection.

21. On May 15, 2001, Plaintiff's Decedent's medical condition required urgent, immediate medical attention, diagnosis, care, and treatment.

22. As a result of the inadequate medical care provided by Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition was allowed to deteriorate and worsen.

23. Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, failed to recognize that Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON was experiencing a medical emergency and failed to take necessary and appropriate measures to safeguard the well-being of Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON.

24. On or about May 15, 2001, Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC, through its agent and/or employee, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, failed properly to examine, diagnose, care for, and treat Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition and, as a result, Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D. discharged MICHAEL HAMILTON from St. James Hospital at approximately 6:20 p.m. on May 15, 2001 with a diagnosis of "abdominal pain—resolved".

25. On and subsequent to May 15, 2001 and at all relevant times herein, Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC, through its agent and/or employee,

Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, had a duty to possess and apply the knowledge and use the skill and care ordinarily used by a reasonably well-qualified doctor of medicine specializing in the practice of emergency medicine.

26. On and subsequent to May 15, 2001, notwithstanding their aforesaid duties, and in breach thereof, Defendant EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC, through its agent and/or employee, JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and Defendant JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., individually, and each of them, were guilty of one or more of the following careless and negligent acts or omissions, in that Defendants:

- (a) Failed to take a complete and accurate history and specifically failed to ask about the location of, severity of, quality or character of, or, associated symptoms accompanying MICHAEL HAMILTON's pain at the time of onset.
- (b) Failed to take a complete and accurate history and specifically failed to obtain as much information as possible from all available sources, including MICHAEL HAMILTON's co-workers/friends.
- (c) Failed to perform a complete and thorough physical examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON and specifically failed to perform a vascular exam and failed to take note of MICHAEL HAMILTON's signs/symptoms while in the ER including experiencing chest pain and vomiting blood.
- (d) Failed to conduct any repeat examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON before discharging him and, specifically, failing to palpate MICHAEL HAMILTON's abdomen, retake MICHAEL HAMILTON's vital signs, have MICHAEL HAMILTON rate his pain on a scale of 1 to 10 and make sure MICHAEL HAMILTON could tolerate liquids before discharging him.
- (e) Failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON for a full cardiac workup despite the fact that the EKG showed acute myocardial ischemia.
- (f) Failed to include aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with sudden, severe

pain in his abdomen and a history of sudden severe pain in his chest, abdomen, and back.

- (g) Failed to order a CT scan or other diagnostic testing despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with sudden, severe pain in his abdomen and a history of sudden severe pain in his chest, abdomen, and back and/or despite the fact that Dr. Almeida should have included aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis.
- (h) Failed to include aortic dissection in his differential diagnosis despite the fact that MICHAEL HAMILTON presented to the ER with a history of pain migrating from his chest to his abdomen to his back.
- (ii) Failed to rule out at least three potentially fatal medical conditions as the cause of MICHAEL HAMILTON's symptoms despite having included said fatal medical conditions – namely, acute myocardial infarction, acute myocardial ischemia, and acute pancreatitis - in his differential diagnosis.
- (j) Ignored, failed to give adequate consideration to, and/or failed to follow up on the information and data available to Defendants from their examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies;
- (k) Failed to admit MICHAEL HAMILTON to the hospital for further tests, films, and studies notwithstanding the information and data available to Defendants from their examination of MICHAEL HAMILTON, including the abnormal results revealed by the above-mentioned tests, films, and studies;
- (l) Failed to order a posterior-anterior (PA) chest X-ray and a full cardiac workup on MICHAEL HAMILTON, including serial cardiac enzymes, serial electrocardiograms, and a 2D echocardiogram, which would have revealed MICHAEL HAMILTON'S aortic dissection;
- (m) Failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON immediately to a cardiovascular surgeon for surgery on an emergency basis to repair and correct his aortic dissection;
- (n) Failed to refer MICHAEL HAMILTON immediately to a cardiologist or other specialist;
- (o) Discharged MICHAEL HAMILTON with a diagnosis of “abdominal pain—resolved” when the Defendants knew or reasonably should have discovered that MICHAEL HAMILTON was suffering from an aortic dissection.

27. As a proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid careless and negligent acts or omissions by the Defendants, EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC and JOSE ALMEIDA, and each of them, the Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON'S medical condition was allowed to deteriorate and he died on May 22, 2001.

28. Plaintiff's Decedent MICHAEL HAMILTON left surviving him as his next of kin his daughter, TATIYANA MASON, who has sustained substantial pecuniary loss, including the loss of money, goods, and services, as well as the loss of society, companionship, instruction, moral training, superintendence of education, love, and affection as the result of the death of her father, MICHAEL HAMILTON.

29. On May 15, 2002, EVELYN HART, the mother of Plaintiff's Decedent, was appointed Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Probate Division. (Exhibit 1).

30. This cause of action arises under the Illinois Wrongful Death Act, codified at 740 ILCS 180/1, et. seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, EVELYN HART, Independent Administrator of the Estate of MICHAEL HAMILTON, Deceased, prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against the Defendants, EXCEL EMERGENCY CARE, LLC and JOSE ALMEIDA, M.D., and each of them, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law

Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff for the injuries and damages sustained, plus costs of this action.

THE JASMER LAW FIRM

By: _____
David S. Jasmer

THE JASMER LAW FIRM
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
111 W. Washington St., Suite 1150
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 782-6344
Atty. No. 38427